Gmail Krista Dandridge-Barnett <[redacted]>

REPLY: REPLY: Complaint filed with Library of Congress OIG

1 message

Krista Dandridge-Barnett <[redacted]> Sat, Jan 10, 2026 at 10:48 AM
To: [redacted]

Library of Congress
c/o: [redacted]
loc.gov

Saturday | January 10, 2026 | 1:48PM EST

Greetings!..

Concerning: *Ley Lines (The Complete Series) by Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett and Dida's Architecture by Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett.*

In addition to all of my inquiries, I'd like for the Criminal Investigations department of the OIG, that of the Library of Congress and the Copyright Office, to investigate all of my deposits, applications, and registrations, to ensure that all original deposits are within each and every single Copyright Registration, as a result of several of my works appearing to have been deliberately sabotaged since the year of 2023. It would also appear that, sometime in the year of 2023, on more than one occasion, there was a determination by the Copyright Office whereby I was instructed that the original deposit(s) had to be broken-up, apparently due to my choice of using the word "Episode" in lieu of "Chapter". In any case, all of this needs to be investigated, as I'm persuaded to believe that the sabotage and crippling of my Copyrights, the original Copyright Deposits, etc., have been employed as a way to potentially rob me of my rights, property, and the sanity of the ingenuity of my work. Also, the dates need to be investigated also, because, at one time or another, I discovered that at least one of my Copyright Registrations has a much later date of registration than the original date of application.

Although Episodes or Chapters within *Ley Lines (The Complete Series) by Krista Dandridge-Barnett* were published separately, the fact of the matter still remains is that *Ley Lines (The Complete Series) by Krista Dandridge-Barnett* is still one work as evidenced at https://play.google.com/store/books/details/Krista_Raina_Dandridge_Barnett_Ley_Lines_The_Compl?id=_bSGEQAAQBAJ&hl=en_US . You may wish to refer to the following screenshot to note the meta-data, how the novel-script is identified as "my original work", as in singular:

Here is the following as found @ https://publicrecords.copyright.gov/search?page_number=1&query=%22krista%20raina%20dandridge-barnett%22&field_type=%22keyword%22&records_per_page=25&sort_field=%22representative_date%22&sort_order=%22desc%22&model=%22%22:

Full Title:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett 2025 - Architecture Version - Possibly going to called, ?Dida?s Architecture by Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett? - Episode 6, Scene 15 - Episode 9, Scene 1.
Registration Number:
PAu004280421
Date:
2025-08-15
Type of Work:
Dramatic Works; or Choreography
Claimant:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett,

2. Full Title:
Dida?s Architecture :Episode 05.
Registration Number:
PAu004270315
Date:
2025-06-07
Type of Work:
Dramatic Works; or Choreography
Claimant:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett,

3. Full Title:
Copyright Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett Copyright 2025 -- Architecture Version, possibly going to be named "Dida's Architecture by Krista Raina Dandridge Barnett" -- Episode 3, Scene 1 to Episode 4, Scene 18.
Registration Number:
PAu004260912
Date:
2025-03-15
Type of Work:
Dramatic Works; or Choreography
Claimant:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett,

4. Full Title:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett December 03-25, 2024 (Architecture Firm Version) -- Episode One (I-XVII) - Episode Two (I-VII) - Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett 2024.
Registration Number:
PAu004256626
Date:
2025-03-04
Type of Work:
Dramatic Works; or Choreography
Claimant:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett,

5. Full Title:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett 2025 Krista Raina Dandridge Barnett -- Architecture Version, possibly going to be named "Dida's Architecture by Krista Raina Dandridge Barnett" -- Episode 2, Scene 8-Episode 2, Scene 37 -.

Registration Number:
PAu004255960
Date:
2025-02-14
Type of Work:
Dramatic Works; or Choreography
Claimant:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett,

6. Full Title:
Ley Lines (Episode Nine) by Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett.
Registration Number:
PA0002472709
Date:
2024-04-26
Type of Work:
Music
Claimant:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett,

7. Full Title:
Ley Lines - Episode 8 Part 4.
Registration Number:
TX0009350492
Date:
2024-01-17
Type of Work:
Text
Claimant:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett,

8. Full Title:
'Ley Lines' (Episode Nine) by Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett.
Registration Number:
PA0002442917
Date:
2023-06-30
Type of Work:
Dramatic Works; or Choreography
Claimant:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett,

9. Full Title:
'Ley Lines' (Episode One Through Episode Eight Part -Four) by Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett.
Registration Number:
PA0002410844
Date:
2023-04-18
Type of Work:
Dramatic Works; or Choreography
Claimant:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett,

10. Full Title:

'Ley Lines' by Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett.
Registration Number:
TXu002363309
Date:
2023-03-03
Type of Work:
Text
Claimant:
Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett,

Also, you might wish to refer to a letter I recently emailed to the United States Supreme Court regarding some of my horrid experiences from the Copyright Office and the Library of Congress @ https://www.calameo.com/books/007985271ce9b392580d5:

Wednesday
January 7, 2026
11:22PM EST

United States Supreme Court
1 First St NE
Washington, DC 20543

I'd argue that with respect to the Library of Congress and the US Copyright Office, both are in dire need of corrective overhaul. The earlier entity seems to have reasoned among itself that one existing valid concerns as to the apparent systemic malfunctioning operations of the latter isn't really that at all, but, rather, an aligned functioning of the Congressbox of US Copyright (Boc Pranks). Needless to say, it's obvious the systemic malfunctioning is the apparent brain-child of its parent company whose combative, retaliatory acts -- at least towards me, and the way I view such -- have, in part, engendered feeling of exhaustion, trauma, and anxiety, in addition to what others (of whom will remain nameless) brought about.

Positioning itself to truthfully hold maneuvers against much of what I understand the law to be (I am not an attorney), the US Copyright Office has apparently severed several of my Copyright deposits simply because of my using the word "Episode" in lieu of the word "Chapter". O&E It certainly is, particularly when each novel-script is one separate work: *Dida's Architecture by Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett* and *Ley Lines (The Complete Series) by Krista Raina Dandridge-Barnett*.

The other day, possibly yesterday, I was informed by the Office of the General Counsel within the Library of Congress to call back in about 1 hour. So, right at or around the 1 hour mark, I called back, and not to my surprise, not a person answered the phone. Several times have I been informed that someone will call me back; placed on hold with no one coming back after several minutes, whereas leaving me to have no other option but to call back; emails identifying key issues and concerns, receiving no response to all specific concerns addressed. Frankly, I'm more than appalled. To cap it off, when calling the Library of Congress today, and being transferred to a Ms _____, I was not only treated with

by Krista Dandridge-Barnett
1 of 2

such disdain as if he was going off on a rambling tirade, I had no other reasonable option but to immediately end the call (I couldn't take it another second!).

Having later contacted the Library of Congress with respect to speaking to the appropriate authority, being that mishandlings of at least one work, that I'm aware of, being mindset/ disputes potentially being reversed or damaged, and other concerns in relation to the up-till-now at the time, I had the total displeasure of speaking with a rude phone representative who had the audacity to inform me that she's been told -- it seems that as well as others -- to forward my call to the Police department. Unaware as to why, at some point in her rant, she erroneously stated that I had been calling for months. That's disgustingly farcical, it was only until December 18, 2025, [THREAD ID:1-rDKfW1I5] where I first received an email from a Copyright Examiner or Copyright Department in relation to essentially wanting us or proposing to sever my entire novel-script by reducing it down to 1 Episode = Chapter, It is fair to note that Episode is used instead of Chapter simply for stylistic purposes. Interestingly enough, "calling for months" is a vast overstatement to the less than one month actuality.

In any case, should I not forget about how the Library of Congress, by all accounts, appear to give off appearances to pervert the US Copyright Office to allow its Examiners to suck and roll as though *de facto* adjudicators, and *de facto* attorneys, all without a lick of a license, to my knowledge, to practice law. To me, it mimics UPL [Unauthorized Practice of Law]. The Copyright Office is to authors, artists, owners of certain IP, the Recorder/Registrar for certain intellectual work, the same as what a county Registrar's Office is to real estate owners of property. Essentially, both the Copyright Office and a county Registrar's Office are simply depositories -- and each should be directly clarified to the Library of Congress and the US Copyright Office by the United States Supreme Court.

Respectfully,
/s/Krista Dandridge-Barnett

Wednesday
January 7, 2026
11:22PM EST

by Krista Dandridge-Barnett
2 of 2

Good morning Ms. Dandridge-Barnett,
We received your complaint regarding infringement of your right to use "episode" instead o
"chapter". We need to route this information to the appropriate office that could handle t
complaint. We need to know if you consent to us providing your name and contact informatio
the appropriate Copyright office so that they could handle this as deemed necessary.
[redacted]

Your time is greatly appreciated & Thanks again...

'`~*Respectfully,*

Krista Dandridge-Barnett

'`~LEGAL NOTICE AND CONFIDENTIALITY DISCLAIMER

This *Legal Notice and Confidentiality Disclaimer* obligation shall apply to this communication and to any and all subsequent emails, replies, forwards, or other transmissions, whether or not this *Legal Notice and Confidentiality Disclaimer* is expressly restated therein:

This communication and any attachments hereto (collectively, the "Communication") constitute privileged, proprietary, and confidential information that is the exclusive property, unless otherwise noted, of Ms. Krista Dandridge-Barnett. It is furnished solely for the intended recipient(s) identified herein. Any unauthorized interception, access, review, use, reproduction, dissemination, distribution, or disclosure of this Communication, in whole or in part, is expressly prohibited and may give rise to civil and criminal penalties under applicable federal and state laws, including but not limited to the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (18 U.S.C. § 2510 et seq.) and related privacy statutes.

If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any retention, duplication, disclosure, or reliance on the contents of this Communication is strictly forbidden. If this Communication has been received in error, immediately notif[redacted], delete all copies from your system, and permanently refrain from any form of use or dissemination.

All communications, whether written, electronic, or verbal, with Ms. Dandridge-Barnett are confidential and legally protected. Under no circumstances shall any conversation, consultation, or meeting-whether by telephone, in person, or through any electronic means-be audio recorded, video recorded, transcribed, monitored, "speaker-phoned," live-streamed, or otherwise captured, replicated, or transmitted without the express prior written authorization of Ms. Krista Dandridge-Barnett. Any such recording or interception, absent written consent, constitutes a direct violation of privacy laws and may be subject to immediate legal action.

No portion of this message, including attachments, may be reproduced, distributed, stored, or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior written consent of Ms. Dandridge-Barnett. The omission or absence of this notice from any subsequent communication does not constitute a waiver of confidentiality, ownership, or privilege.

Nothing herein shall be construed as legal advice, representation, or a binding legal undertaking.

This disclaimer exists solely for the protection, preservation, and enforcement of Ms. Krista Dandridge-Barnett's personal, legal, and proprietary rights. Under no circumstance shall this notice, in whole or in part, be construed, cited, invoked, or otherwise used against Ms. Dandridge-Barnett in any legal, contractual, administrative, professional, or personal capacity. Any attempt to reinterpret, repurpose, or apply this disclaimer to diminish, challenge, or undermine Ms. Dandridge-Barnett's rights, position, or interests is expressly invalid, void, and without legal effect.

KRISTA DANDRIDGE-BARNETT IS NOT AN ATTORNEY.

THIS EMAIL AND/OR ANY ATTACHMENT[S] IS/ARE CONFIDENTIAL.

6 attachments

Screenshot 2026-01-10 10.29.09 AM.png
146K

Screenshot 2026-01-10 10.30.00 AM.png
147K

Screenshot 2026-01-10 10.39.15 AM.png
421K

(image format) 2nd Edit - Krista Dandridge-Barnett's Letter to the US Supreme Court about the Copyright Office and the Library of Congress - January 7, 2026 .pdf
791K

(image format) 2nd Edit - Krista Dandridge-Barnett's Letter to the US Supreme Court about the Copyright Office and the Library of Congress - January 7, 2026 - 2 pages on 1 page .pdf
440K

Screenshot 2026-01-10 10.39.15 AM.png.pdf
290K